

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,917	11/18/2003	Yasuhiro Mori	2003_1662A	5136
513 WENDEROTT	7590 12/10/200 H. LIND & PONACK, 1		EXAMINER	
1030 15th Street, N.W.,			TEKLE, DANIEL T	
Suite 400 East Washington 1	OC 20005-1503		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2621	
	7C 20000 1000			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 10/714,917 MORI ET AL.

before the rilling of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL TEKLE	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FIT	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol><li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li></ol>			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w			appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> </ol>			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal: and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reis	ected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amandment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Americanient (	101-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered or b) □ will	he entered and an e	volanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.28 and 30-40.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/	(Daniel Tekle)		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621	/Daniel Tekle/ Examiner Art Unit 2621		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation added to claims 1, 28, 30, 36-39 and 40 raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.